



Sit-to-Stand Toileting Technology and Fall Prevention: A Framework for Risk Assessment



Sit-to-Stand Powered Toileting Technology

Introduction

Toileting is one of the most complex and hazardous activities performed in healthcare settings, contributing substantially to patient falls and caregiver injuries (Zou, et al., 2023). Multiple studies show that toileting-related incidents account for a disproportionately high percentage of inpatient falls, many of which lead to fracture, functional decline, prolonged hospitalization, and institutionalization (Zou, et al., 2023). From the caregiver perspective, assisting patients/residents with toileting commonly involves high-load manual transfers performed in confined spaces without sufficient ergonomic support, substantially increasing the risk of musculoskeletal injury (Fray, et al., 2024).

As obesity, frailty, advanced age, multimorbidity, and cognitive impairment become more prevalent across all settings, toileting safety has emerged as a critical and under-recognized determinant of costly patient/resident outcomes (Guirguis-Blake, et al, 2024). These conditions create vulnerabilities that increase risk during standing, turning, and transitional movements. Toileting evokes simultaneous physiologic demands, including orthostatic fluctuations in blood pressure, urgency, fatigue, and postural instability making it a uniquely high-risk task even among patients/residents who otherwise ambulate safely (Varnum, 2025).

Despite widespread fall-prevention programs, hospitals and post-acute facilities continue to struggle with toileting-related incidents (Hirata, et al., 2022). A recent systematic analysis of fall-prevention guidelines emphasized that many frameworks focus only on falls or mobility assessment/screening and fail to adequately address the specific biomechanical and cognitive risks inherent to toileting. The resulting gap highlights the need for task-specific assessment tools and structured sit-to-stand toileting that directly target the biomechanics of sit-to-stand mobility, descent control, balance, and environmental constraints.



A growing body of evidence demonstrates that sit-to-stand toileting technology can markedly enhance safety for both patients/residents and caregivers. Devices capable of supporting sit-to-stand transitions provide stable, controlled movement that compensates for patient weakness or impaired balance, helping to maintain alignment and reduce uncontrolled descent. For caregivers, these systems replace hazardous manual lifting with powered technology, substantially reducing spinal loading and cumulative musculoskeletal strain.

By reducing reliance on manual handling, sit-to-stand toileting promotes more consistent, repeatable transfer techniques across shifts and skill levels. Care tasks that were previously variable and physically demanding, such as nighttime toilet transfers become more predictable, structured, and defensible from a safety perspective. Patients also derive psychosocial benefit: sit-to-stand toileting typically feels more stable and dignified than bedpans or bedside commodes, supports privacy and autonomy, and may reduce reluctance to call for assistance.

The Unique Risk Profile of Toileting

The evidence suggests that toileting combines risk factors that extend far beyond general ambulation or transfer tasks. Patients frequently experience urgency, impulsivity, postural instability, dizziness, or medication-related impairments when attempting to reach the toilet or stand afterward. In cognitively impaired individuals, “rush-to-toilet” behavior, agitation, and reduced hazard perception further amplify fall risk. Even minor deficits during toilet transfers, such as difficulty rising, loss of control during descent, or inability to maintain standing balance for hygiene are highly predictive of toileting-related falls.

Physiologic stress contributes additional risk. Orthostatic hypotension, present in up to one-third of hospitalized older adults, frequently manifests during toileting and is strongly

associated with fall events. The Valsalva maneuver, commonly triggered by straining during bowel movements, can transiently reduce cerebral perfusion and provoke dizziness, syncope, or collapse (Varnum, 2025). These mechanisms underscore why toileting tasks must be evaluated independently from general fall-risk or mobility screening tools.

Environmental constraints intensify these vulnerabilities. Hospital bathrooms often lack adequate turning radius, appropriate toilet height, stable support structures, or space to accommodate assistive devices (Zou, 2023). Dim lighting, clutter, uneven flooring, and moisture all increase fall probability (Rose, et al., 2020). These factors intersect with patient-level impairments to create a high-risk biomechanical environment in which even brief instability can generate serious injury.

The Value of Assessment

Without a toileting specific assessment it is difficult to craft a meaningful fall prevention plan. A practical, three-part assessment process supports consistent clinical decision-making:

- 1. Holistic fall-risk evaluation** - Includes history of falls, medications (especially sedatives, antihypertensives, and psychoactive agents), dizziness or orthostatic symptoms, mobility limitations, sensory impairment, polypharmacy, and conditions such as obesity, arthritis, stroke, Parkinsonism, or dementia. In acute care, postoperative pain, hemodynamic instability, and acute illness further risk.
- 2. Toilet-specific performance assessment** - Observes the patient rising from and lowering to the toilet or a raised seat, maintaining upright balance, coordinating steps in

confined space, managing clothing, and using call systems safely. Any observed impairment, such as uncontrolled descent, inability to stand long enough for hygiene, or reliance on unstable furniture indicates heightened risk.

- 3. Suitability for sit-to-stand toileting** - Evaluates cognition, weight-bearing capacity, posture, communication, strength, sensation, and bathroom layout to determine whether powered sit-to-stand toileting technology is appropriate and feasible.

These elements form the backbone of a high-sensitivity toileting mobility assessment. Research shows that task-specific screens outperform general fall-risk or mobility screens in predicting bathroom-related incidents (Morales, et al. 2025).

Integrating Assessment into a Meaningful Prevention Plan

An effective toileting safety model must integrate medical, environmental, and behavioral factors into a cohesive, reproducible framework. Studies increasingly highlight that multifactorial programs, such as those addressing physical assistance needs, cognitive function, medications, toileting schedules, and environmental modifications yield the greatest reductions in falls (Morales, et al., 2025).

Environmental conditions often dictate toileting safety outcomes, yet these conditions are among the least modifiable in real time. Hospital and long-term care bathrooms commonly lack appropriate clearances, turning space, grab bars, or stable support points. Research demonstrates that small bathroom size, poor lighting, low toilet height, and cluttered or wet floors significantly increase fall likelihood during toileting activities (O'Neill et al., 2024).

Access the
LiftSeat
Assessment
Tool here:



When equipment such as sit-to-stand devices or full lifts cannot be safely maneuvered into the bathroom—either due to inadequate design or variability across units—caregivers may revert to manual assistance, elevating the risk of both patient falls and staff musculoskeletal injury. These conditions underscore the need for health systems to redesign environments and standardize equipment availability in a manner consistent with safe patient handling and mobility (SPHM) principles.

Organizational infrastructure also plays a crucial role. Facilities with strong SPHM governance, dedicated program leads, standardized toileting-transfer protocols, and competency-based training programs consistently demonstrate lower fall and injury rates (Hill, et al., 2025). Conversely, units lacking structured workflows often struggle with inconsistent equipment use, variable staff decision-making, and gaps in risk recognition.

Assessment-Based Prevention

A unified strategy for preventing toileting-related falls must integrate medical, rehabilitative, environmental, and equipment-based components:

- **Medication management** - Regular medication review to identify agents that impair alertness, blood pressure stability, or continence/urgency.
- **Rehabilitation input** - Physical and occupational therapy to teach safer transfer strategies, improve strength and balance, and evaluate assistive devices.
- **Nursing and continence care** - Prompted or scheduled toileting, individualized toileting plans, and strategies to manage urgency and nocturia hydration and constipation management.
- **Behavioral/cognitive input** - Implement strategies for individuals with dementia, impulsivity, or poor hazard perception.
- **Respiratory care** - Teach patients specific methods for managing their breath when toileting or recovering from activity

- **Environmental and engineering controls** - Safe bathroom design, lighting, decluttering, toilet-height standardization, grab-bar placement, and equipment access.
- **Safe patient handling and mobility (SPHM) integration** - Routine use of sit-to-stand toileting devices where indicated, aligned with broader SPHM programs to reduce caregiver musculoskeletal injuries.

Leadership and governance are essential. Designating a program leader or committee responsible for staff training, monitoring compliance, coordinating equipment procurement, and maintaining competencies increases the likelihood of sustained improvement. Toileting-specific assessment tools should be incorporated into daily workflows on admission, after changes in condition, and following any fall or near-fall event. Aligning toileting safety initiatives with existing falls, SPHM, and quality-improvement structures further strengthens interdisciplinary coordination.

Sit-to-stand Toileting as a Cornerstone of Fall Prevention Across Settings

Sit-to-stand toileting is uniquely positioned as a frontline intervention because it directly addresses biomechanical challenges inherent in sit-to-stand transitions, which account for a substantial

share of toileting-related falls (Zou et al., 2023). Mechanical lifts support eccentric control during descent, stabilize posture, and reduce caregiver reliance on hazardous manual handling.

Conclusion

Toileting is among the most dangerous tasks in healthcare and demands specialized, evidence-informed assessment and prevention strategies. An awareness of biomechanical, physiologic, cognitive, and environmental risks makes toileting-related falls both highly predictable and highly preventable. A structured toileting-specific assessment, combined with routine use of sit-to-stand toileting devices, as part of a holistic plan, provides a powerful approach to reducing fall-related injury, protecting caregivers, and enhancing patient dignity.

The synthesis of evidence across acute and long-term care shows that toileting safety must be embedded within broader SPHM frameworks, fall-prevention programs, and organizational safety cultures. When supported by proper equipment, staff training, environmental redesign, and standardized protocols, lift-assisted toileting offers a transformative, system-wide improvement in the safety and consistency of patient care.

When even minor instability is detected, sit-to-stand toileting becomes the safest and most clinically appropriate option because sit-to-stand powered toileting technology address key risks inherent to toileting. These devices provide controlled, stable vertical movement that reduces stress on knees and hips, eliminate uncontrolled descent, and support upright posture during hygiene. They also protect caregivers by reducing manual lifting forces, one of the strongest predictors of work-related occupational injury (Fray, et al., 2024).

Sit-to-stand powered toileting technology also address stigma and dignity concerns. Many patients report embarrassment, fear, or feelings of instability when using bedpans or bedside commodes. Devices that support upright transfers preserve normal toileting routines and improve autonomy, which are key predictors of patient satisfaction and adherence.

References

Al Johani W. A., Pascua G. P. (2019). Impacts of manual handling training and lifting devices on risks of back pain among nurses: An integrative literature review. *Nurse Media Journal of Nursing*, 9(2), 210-230. [10.14710/nmjn.v9i2.26435](https://doi.org/10.14710/nmjn.v9i2.26435)

Rose, G., Decalf, V., Everaert, K., & Bower, W. F. (2020). Toileting-related falls at night in hospitalised patients: The role of nocturia. *Australasian journal on ageing*, 39(1), e70-e76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12696>

Caponecchia, C., Coman, R. L., Gopaldasani, V., Mayland, E. C., & Campbell, L. (2020). Musculoskeletal disorders in aged care workers: a systematic review of contributing factors and interventions. *International journal of nursing studies*, 110, 103715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103715>

CDC. About safe patient handling and mobility. Accessed 12/9/25 at <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/healthcare/prevention/sphm.html>

Fray, M., & Davis, K. G. (2024). Effectiveness of Safe Patient Handling Equipment and Techniques: A Review of Biomechanical Studies. *Human factors*, 66(10), 2283-2322. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187208231211842>

Guirguis-Blake, J. M., Perdue, L. A., Coppola, E. L., & Bean, S. I. (2024). Interventions to Prevent Falls in Older Adults: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*, 332(1), 58-69. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2024.4166>

Hirata, R., Tago, M., Katsuki, N. E., Oda, Y., Tokushima, M., Tokushima, Y., Hirakawa, Y., Yamashita, S., Aihara, H., Fujiwara, M., & Yamashita, S. I. (2022). History of Falls and Bedriddenness Ranks are Useful Predictive Factors for in-Hospital Falls: A Single-Center Retrospective Observational Study Using the Saga Fall Risk Model. *International journal of general medicine*, 15, 8121-8131. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S385168>

Hill, A. M., Loo, C. Y., Coulter, S., Watson, C., Vaz, S., Morris, M. E., Flicker, L., & Weselman, T. (2025). Health Professional Perceptions of Delivering Hospital Falls Prevention Education-A Qualitative Study. *Western journal of nursing research*, 47(5), 348-355. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01939459251319078>

Morales, M. J. G., Hilton, P., Hong, O., Bialous, S., Martin, M., Foley, M., & Lee, S. J. (2025). Exploring the impact of high reliability organization implementation on patient handling injury rates: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of occupational medicine and toxicology (London, England)*, 20(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12995-025-00487-0>

O'Neill, K., Doran, M., Davie, K., Andreano, A., & Tulk Jesso, S. (2024). Using Human-Centered Design to Decrease the Risk of Toileting in Hospitals for Patients and Clinicians. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 68(1), 487-492. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10711813241276473> (Original work published 2024)

Roggeman, S., Weiss, J. P., Van Laecke, E., Vande Walle, J., Everaert, K., & Bower, W. F. (2020). The role of lower urinary tract symptoms in fall risk assessment tools in hospitals: a review. *F1000Research*, 9, F1000 Faculty Rev-236. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21581.1>

Varnum, J.V. (2025). Worker Safety, Early Mobility, and Respiratory Considerations among Patients of Size: A Multidisciplinary Imperative. *Journal for Bariatric Solutions*, 1(3). PAGES

Zou, M., Lu, R., Jiang, Y., Liu, P., Tian, B., Liang, Y., Wang, X., & Jiang, L. (2023). Association between toileting and falls in older adults admitted to the emergency department and hospitalised: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*, 13(6), e065544. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065544>

Researched and Prepared by BariSolutions, Inc

barisolutions.com info@barisolutions.com

